

Neuroscience Research Division, CMRA, India

Ophthalmological, ENT complications in dissection lab – sharing experiences of medical students from Nepal

Roy B^1 , Pugazhandhi B^2 , Banerjee I^3 , Sathian B^4 , Khan $I A^5$, Mondal M^6 , Upadhya R^7

Edited by:

Dr. A.K.Pradhan, KIMS, Amalapurum, India
Vice President CMRA

Reviewed by:

Dr.L.Sharma, India
Dr.Sunil Sil, Chief SHO W.B, India

*Correspondence:

Bedanta Roy
Assistant Professor,
Department of Physiology,
Manipal College of Medical Sciences,
Pokhara, Nepal.

Email:

bedanta.roy@gmail.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Background:

Dissection is a crucial part of Anatomy, which helps future training in the clinical subjects like surgery. Formalin is used as a preservative substance for cadavers. The main objective of the research was to find out the ophthalmological and ENT associated clinical manifestations allied with formalin preserved cadaveric dissection among basic science medical students.

Methods:

A questionnaire-based study was carried out in the Basic Science Campus of Manipal College of Medical Sciences, Pokhara, Nepal. The questionnaire included Ophthalmological, ENT and other clinical manifestations associated with dissection.

Results:

Response rate of the study was quite high (406 students, 97.83%) among which females 181, 44.58% and males were 225, 55.41%. ENT and ophthalmological manifestations like Lacrimation 62.1%, Ocular irritation 58.6% and Running or congested nose 42.1% were the commonest problems reported during dissection of the cadavers. Males had [OR 1.403 (0.941, 2.091)] times Running or congested nose than females during dissection. Male student als¹² experienced disturbance sight, [OR 1.48 (0.930, 2.356)] times more compared to females.

Conclusion:

Different clinical manifestations were relatively less comparing with other medical colleges. This is practically impossible to remove preservative substances from the dissection lab entirely, but adopting suitable measures can change the scenario. Ventilation Improvement, installation of exhaust ventilation dissection tables is more effective in this context. Giving short breaks in dissection sessions, protective measures like, activated carbon mask and rubber glove for sensitive students will be helpful.

Keywords:

Anatomy, cadaver, clinical manifestation, dissection, formalin, medical student, Nepal.

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Physiology, Manipal College of Medical Sciences, Pokhara, Nepal.

²Lecturer, Department of Anatomy, Manipal College of Medical Sciences, Pokhara, Nepal.

³Lecturer, Department of Pharmacology, Manipal College of Medical Sciences, Pokhara, Nepal.

⁴Assistant Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Manipal College of Medical Sciences, Pokhara, Nepal.

⁵Professor, Department of Physiology, Manipal College of Medical Sciences, Pokhara, Nepal.

 $^{^6}$ Lecturer Department of Physiology, Manipal College of Medical Sciences Pokhara, Nepal.

⁷Former Professor and Head, Department of Anatomy, Manipal College of Medical Sciences, Pokhara, Nepal.

Background

Anatomy is one of the most basic, vital subjects of integrated basic medical sciences, which is taught in the first two years, beginning of the medical career [1]. A sound understanding of human anatomy knowledge is required for surgical specialization which ultimately helpful development of medical professionalism [2]. Cadaveric Dissection is the important most practical aspect of anatomy which significantly influences the skills and attitudes of future medical professionals [3]. Cadavers are used to conceptualize more about the practical aspect of anatomy [4, 5]. The first exposure may be a emotional shock, but repeated exposure makes students comfortable for this teaching/learning process [6]. Formalin is used to preserve cadavers [7] which ar the leading cause of certain clinical manifestations such as airway irritation and obstructive disorders such as asthma, ocular irritations, carcinomas, female reproductive disorders, sensitization [8-11]. dermatitis, skin Formaldehyde concentration higher than 0.5mg/m² responsible for dry nose, throat and conjunctiva. Worldwide studies have shown the dissection associated health hazards of medical students and professionals, which is mainly due to formaldehyde exposure [12-18].

The main purpose of this present study was to find out the Ophthalmological, ENT and other complications in dissection lab amongst medical students studying in Nepal with 40% formalin-treated cadavers. This is the first study unlocking the scenario of dissection lab in Nepal.

Material and Methods:

Study Period

The current research work was done in 15thMarch 2012 to 15th July 2013.

Study design and the participants

This was a questionnaire based study carried out at the Manipal College of Medical Sciences, Pokhara in Nepal. Questionnaires were distributed among students mainly, based on the causative factors allied with Ophthalmological, ENT and other complications in dissection. Students are instructed to fill it freely and anonymously.

Response Rate

The response rate was quite higher, out of 415 students, 406 filled the questionnaires correctly giving an overall response rate of 97.83%.

Questionnaire design and Validity

Questionnaire was designed to obtain clinical manifestations of ophthalmological, ENT and others coupled with dissection. There was option to choose "yes" or "no".

The questionnaire started with specific instructions followed by demographic data. Any identifiable personal details like name, address, or roll number they had not entered. Study identification numbers were used in this context. Pilot study was done among 10 volunteer medical students, 3 senior residents and 4 faculty members among them two were subject experts (Dr. Rajandra Upadhya Professor, Department of Anatomy and Mr. Pugazhandhi — lecturer department of Anatomy); who commented on the relevance and unambiguity of the questionnaire. With their suggestions and opinions, necessary modifications were done and questionnaire was validated with Alpha Cronbach 0.69.

Data collection

Questionnaires were distributed amongst the medical students of basic sciences and they were asked to answer each question frankly, honestly. Project investigators cleared the doubts of students, so that they can fill it correctly.

Inclusion criteria

All the students of Basic Sciences were included in the study from Manipal College of Medical Sciences, Pokhara, Nepal (MCOMS). MCOMS is the oldest and one of the most reputed, prestigious private medical of Nepal which was established in 1994.

Exclusion criteria

Students who were not willing to participate voluntarily or who filled the questionnaire incorrectly were set up as exclusion criteria for the avoidance of study bias.

Sample size calculation

From the pilot study of 10 students, 90% showed clinical manifestations during dissection so P=90% Q=100 -90=10%, E=4% of P=3.6 and sample size required was 267 [19].

Outcome variables

Clinical signs and symptoms associated with eye, ENT, and dermatological manifestations were considered as outcome variables.

Explanatory variables

The demographic factors defined at individual level including age, gender and nationality; were set as explanatory variables.

Ethical committee approval

This research study was conducted in accordance with the latest version of the Declaration of Helsinki. Ethical committee approval was taken from college authority and consent was also received from participants prior to study.

Data management and statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics and testing of hypothesis were used for the analysis. Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) for Windows Version 16.0 (SPSS Inc; Chicago, IL, USA) was used in the study. Chi-square test was used to obtain the associations between the different variables and strength of the relationship with logistic regression. Odds ratios (OR) and their 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) was calculated and a p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Half of the Nepalese and Srilankan students complained about running or congested nose whereas among Indians complication was comparatively less. Burning sensation in the throat was relatively less among Indians. Coughing, tightening in the chest more in Nepalese followed by Indians.

None of the Srilankans complained about this. Ocular irritation was more in Nepalese, followed by Indians and Srilankans. Lacrimation was more common in Nepalese followed by Srilankans and Indians. Disturbance of sight was less complained by Srilankans. Except running or congested nose and disturbance of sight there was very little gender disparity among all of the above factors [Table 1].

Table - 2: Dermatological manifestations

Nationality	Allergic dermatitis		Burning sensation of the skin		Drying, cracking of the skin		Blistering, and scaling of the skin	
	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Nepali	210	12	199	23	142	80	200	22
	(94.6)	(5.4)	(89.6)	(10.4)	(64.0)	(36.0)	(90.1)	(9.9)
Indian	124 (94.7)	7 (5.3)	119 (90.8)	12 (9.2)	108 (82.4)	23 (17.6)	120 (91.6)	11 (8.4)
Sriankan	50	3	47	6	47	6	53	(8.4)
Silalikali	(94.3)	(5.7)	(88.7)	(11.3)	(88.7)	(11.3)	(100)	(0.0)
P value	0.99	96 [×]	3.0	391 [×]	0.000 [†]		0.059 [†]	
Female	169	12	149	32	122	59	164	17
	(93.4)	(6.6)	(82.3)	(17.7)	(67.4)	(32.6)	(90.6)	(9.4)
Male	215	10	216	9	175	50	209	16
	(95.6)	(4.4)	(96.0)	(4)	(77.8)	(22.2)	(92.9)	(7.1)
	0.334 [×]		0.000 [†]		0.019 [†]		0.404 [×]	

p<0.05, statistically significant

Table 2 explains that although complaints were relatively less the number of cases of burning sensation of the skin was almost double than allergic dermatitis amongst all nationalities. Drying and cracking of the skin was more evident among Nepalese followed by Indians and Sri Lankans. Blistering, and scaling of the skin was complained by relatively less Nepalese and Indian students but none of the Sri Lankans.

Table - 1 ENT and ophthalmological problems

ENT complications								
Nationality	Runny or o	-	Burning se in the t		Coughing, tightening in the chest			
	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes		
Nepali	114(51.4)	108(48.6)	171(77.0)	51(23.0)	203(91.4)	19(8.6)		
Indian	93(71.0)	38(29.0)	115(87.8)	16(12.2)	127(96.9)	4(3.1)		
Sriankan	28(52.8)	25(47.2)	39(73.6)	14(26.4)	53(100)	0(0.0)		
P value	0.0	01 [†]	0.02	23 [†]	0.016 [†]			
Female	113(62.4)	68(37.6)	145(80.1)	36(19.9)	168(92.8)	13(7.2)		
male	122(54.2)	103(45.8)	180(80.0)	45(20.0)	215(95.6)	10(4.4)		
P value	0.0	0.096 [×]		0.978 [×]		:36 [×]		
		Ophthalm	ological p	roblems				
Nationality	Ocular i	rritation	Lacrim	ation	Disturbance sight			
	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes		
Nepali	146(65.8)	76(34.2)	154(69.4)	68(30.6)	169(76.1)	53(23.9)		
Indian	68(51.9)	63(48.1)	64(48.9)	67(51.1)	92(70.2)	39(29.8)		
Sriankan	24(45.3)	29(54.7)	34(64.2)	19(35.8)	46(86.8)	7(13.2)		
P value	0.0	04 [†]	0.00)1 [†]	0.058 [†]			
Female	106(58.6)	75(41.4)	116(64.1)	65(35.9)	144(79.6)	37(20.4)		
Male	132(58.7)	93(41.3)	136(60.4)	89(39.6)	163(72.4)	62(27.6)		
P value	0.9	83 [×]	0.45	52 [×]	0.097 [×]			

[†]p<0.05, statistically significant

Table – 3: ENT and Ophthalmological manifestations

ENT complications				Ophthalmological Problems				
Runny or congested nose	Burning sensation in the throat	Coughin tightening the che	g in irritation		Lacrimation		Disturbance in sight	
171(42.1)	81(20)	23(5.7)		238(58.6)	252(62.1)		99(24.4)	
	Dermatological manifestations							
Allergic dermatiti		Burning sensation I of the skin		ing, cracking of the skin			g, and scaling the skin	
22(5.4)	41(1	41(10.1)		109(26.8)		33(8.1)		

There were no significant difference in terms of genders regarding complains of allergic dermatitis, drying, cracking of the skin, blistering, and scaling of the skin. Females complained around four times more burning sensation of the skin than males, which was significant.

Problems regarding ENT and ophthalmological changes were running or congested nose - 42.1%, burning sensation in the throat, lacrimation -62.1% Ocular irritations - 58.6% followed by disturbance in sight. Dermatological manifestations were also seen, where drying, cracking of the skin 26.8% and

^{*}p>0.05, statistically not significant.

^{*}p>0.05, statistically not significant.

Burning sensation of the skin 10.1% were the commonest physiological and clinical manifestations [**Table 3**].

Determinants of clinical manifestations and Gender of the students by logistic regression.

Males had [OR 1.403 (0.941, 2.091)] times Running or congested nose than females during dissection. Male student also experienced disturbance sight, [OR 1.48 (0.930, 2.356)] times more compared to females. They were also experienced dryness of mouth, sweating and pass out [OR 2.158 (1.377, 3.381)], [OR 2.250 (1.490, 3.396)] and [OR 5.539 (2.420, 12.676)] times respectively compared to females. Whereas the dermatological manifestations like burning sensation of the skin was [OR 5.154 (2.390, 11.114)] times more in females then males. Drying, cracking of the skin was also more prevalent [OR 1.693 (1.088, 2.633)] among females [Table 4].

Table – 4: Logistic Regression Table of various clinical manifestations and Gender of the students

ENT problems - Odds Ratio (Confidence Interval)									
	Running or congested no		Burning se the t	ensation in hroat	Coughing, tightening in the chest				
Male	1.403(0.941, 2.0)91) [*]	1.007(0.617, 1.643) [×]		0.601(0.257, 1.405) [×]				
Female	1		:	1	1				
0	Ophthalmological Problems - Odds Ratio (Confidence Interval)								
	Ocular irritati	on	Lacrimation		Disturbance sight				
Male	1.004(0.675, 1.4	194) [×]	0.856(0.571, 1.283) [×]		1.480(0.930, 2.356) [×]				
Female	1		1		1				
De	Dermatological manifestations - Odds Ratio (Confidence Interval								
	Allergic dermatitis	Burning sensation of the skin		Drying, cracking of the skin		Blistering, and scaling of the skin			
Male	0.655(0.276, 1.553)×	1		1		0.739(0.362, 1.506) [×]			
Female	1	5.154(2.390, 11.114) [†]		1.693(1.088, 2.633) [†]		1			

[†]p<0.05, statistically significant,

Discussion

More awareness and attention has been drawn to the different effects of dissection among students and suggestions regarding such trauma and subsequent education and practice are more in last 10 – 15 years [20]. Adverse effects are common with the skin contact of the hazards solution – formaldehyde and the vapor can irritate the respiratory tract, eyes and cause lacrimation, burning of the nose and throat, dyspnea, and headache[21]. Susceptibility varies subject to subject. It has also observed that some individuals do not show any reaction to the exposure at the same levels [22].

ENT and ophthalmological Complications

A very interesting finding of our study was that about half of the Nepalese and Sri Lankan students suffered running or congested nose whereas it was relatively less among Indians. This may be due to the fact that the formalin concentration was more in the air which affected them. Indians were less susceptible in this context. Our findings corroborates with Mizukiet al [22].

☑ Nigerian research work also showed that a lesser number of students were affected with running or congested nose (mild 9%, moderate 3%) [23]. Mansour R et al, Teharan, reported 16% of the study population complained about Wheezing, which may be associated with running nose [24]. Burning sensation in the throat was due to formalin vapor mostly suffered by Nepalese and Sri Lankans. A study done by Huma Musarrat Khan shows that 15.6% student complained about this problem [25].

Oral or pharyngeal itch which may be associated with burning throat was also experienced by the students. Azari R reported that more than half of the students (56%) complained about burning throat, which may be due to a ventilation problem inside the dissection hall compared with us [24]. Though Coughing and tightening of chest were relatively rare and were mostly experienced by Nepalese students. Some studies already documented the irritating and offensive odor of formaldehyde may provoke or intensify asthmatic symptoms [26].

Other studies revealed that 19.7% complained few incidents of breathlessness while 3.5% said this was a more frequent occurrence [25]. Mansour R et al explained that shortness of breath was a clinical manifestation for 36% and coughing for 54% [24]. Formaldehyde is corrosive to the eyes and it was one of the commonest problems reported by half of the study population of each country which was similar to other studies [23, 27]. Burning eyes was also common and statistically significant in the dissection room [27].

Lacrimation which was the most common clinical manifestation amongst the students, similar to the other study done by Khan HM et al [25]. Burning sensation of the eyes was also reported by half of the students during dissection [24, 29]. The reported higher exposure rates can be explained by the greater number of fresh cadavers in the gross anatomy laboratories, similar with our findings. Disturbed vision was also associated with dissection affecting mostly Nepali and Indian students. There may be several causes like eye fatigue, eye soreness, swelling of eyelids which were already documented research works in Pakistan and Teharan. 36% of the students in Teharan complained blurred vision which may be due to the effect of formaldehyde [25]. It could be also result from disturbance of light refraction on the cornea-tear interface caused by scattering of light on account of excess tears from reflex tearing [30].

All the ENT and ophthalmological problems may be due to diffusion of formalin vapor which excites mast cells located in the conjunctiva and nostrils leading to release of histamine and serotonin. These chemicals provoke vascular

^{*}p>0.05, statistically not significant.

engorgement along with the above mentioned conditions [31]. Formalin vapor might mix with the cornea tear film/moist nasal surface and might provoke ocular itching, tearing, redness, nasal discharge and sneezing [30]. To minimize the above mentioned effects, abundant literatures are available explaining the use of alternative compounds such as Shellac [32], glutaraldehyde, fixing solutions with formaldehyde to water ratio 1:3 [15], ammonium carbonate [18] and salt-containing solutions which can also be used [12].

Dermatological manifestations

Formaldehyde can be absorbed through the intact skin and is the origin of severe irritation or allergic dermatitis and formaldehyde gas exposure may lead to major allergic symptoms and the diagnosis of allergic contact dermatitis by patch testing [33, 34].

Relatively less population of all the three nationalities complained about allergic dermatitis, which may be due to less susceptibility to formaldehyde. The reason for these allergic reactions may be due to modification of tissue proteins when skin contact occurs. This causes local toxicity and initiates allergic reactions due to eczematous dermatitis, after frequent exposure [33]. Sometimes this clinical manifestation affects more population who complained of itching of the skin due to dermal exposure of HCHO [35]. This was also common among medical professionals [36-40]. In some other studies itching and paraesthesia of hands were also significant factors, but in our study burning sensation of the skin was less prevalent and not significant [28].

Comparing the magnitude of dermatological exposure, Nepali students complained more about drying or cracking of the skin, compared with the other two nationalities. Some other studies showed that this is one of the most common effects of formaldehyde—"skin-related diseases" [14].

Burning sensation of the skin was more in females and this was statistically significant.

Common physiological and clinical manifestations

Dissimilar research studies available showing the different physical symptoms during Anatomy dissections, support our study [21, 22]. Running or congested nose, and Ocular irritation, lacrimation were some of the most important issues among the medical students, in concordance with other studies [27, 24, 25]. Dermatological manifestations were common among our medical students which is supporting many studies [14, 37 - 40].

Conclusion

ENT and ophthalmological manifestations like lacrimation, ocular irritation and running or congested nose were the commonest problems seen in medical students during dissection of the cadavers. Comparing with other studies, complications are less in MCOMS. This is impossible to

remove hazardous preservative substances from the dissection lab entirely, but some preventive measures can diminish the clinical manifestations and change the scenario. Improvement in windows ventilation, installation of dissection tables equipped with local exhaust ventilation systems would be more effective in this context.

Negative pressure ventilation and monitoring systems can reduce formaldehyde vapor air concentration. Taking short breaks between dissection sessions could be helpful for the students. Specific instructions for sensitive students like wearing an activated carbon mask and rubber gloves during dissection will help more in this context. Alternative safer and nontoxic chemicals like glutaraldehyde can take place over formaldehyde as an embalming fluid.

Limitations & future scope of the study

As area concentrations of formaldehyde is an important factor, which should be measured but as this study is not funded, so we were not able to measure it. In the present study, we have included only one institution, but multicentre study with formalin vapour concentration in air is recommended for the future researchers.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Abbreviations

CNS – central nervous system, ENT- ear nose throat

Authors' contribution

BR, IB and PB designed the questionnaire, interpreted the data, drafted the manuscript, and revised it. BR conceived of the study with IB, RU, PB, MM and IAK acquired & interpreted the data and revised the manuscript. BS took part in data analysis, interpreted the data, and revised the manuscript. RU critically revised the manuscript. BR and IB edited the English language of the manuscript.

Authors' information

Bedanta Roy, Currently working as Assistant Professor in the Department of Physiology, Manipal College of Medical Sciences, and Pokhara, Nepal. His PhD work is in Neurophysiology. He is in the editorial board of Nepal Journal of Epidemiology (NJE). He was an Organizing Committee member of International Epidemiological Association Conference 2013 and Confederation of Epidemiological Associations (CEA) Conference December 2013, Organized by Mahatma Gandhi University.

Mr. Pugazhandhi B, currently working as a Lecturer in the Department of Anatomy, Manipal College of Medical Sciences, Pokhara, Nepal. He is the editorial board member of MS.

Dr. Indrajit Banerjee MBBS, MD Pharmacology. Currently working as a Lecturer in the Department of Pharmacology, Manipal College of Medical Sciences, Pokhara, Nepal and Chief of Manipal Sanjeevani Clinic. He is in the editorial board of Medical Science (MS), and Nepal Journal of Epidemiology (NJE). He was an Organizing Committee member of International Epidemiological Association Conference 2013 and Confederation of Epidemiological Associations (CEA) Conference December 2013, Organized by Mahatma Gandhi University.

Dr. Brijesh Sathian MD(AM), PhD. working as Assistant Professor and Bio tatistics Chief in the Department of Community Medicine, Manipal College of Medical Sciences, Pokhara, Nepal. He is the editorial board member of NJE, IJBS, AIIJMS, GMJ and Joint Organizing Secretary and treasurer of International Epidemiological Association Conference 2013. Joint Organizing Secretary CEA (Confederation of Epidemiological Associations) Conference December 2013 Organized by Mahatma Gandhi University.

Iftikhar Ali Khan, is Professor in the Department of Physiology, Manipal College of Medical Sciences, Pokhara, Nepal.

DR. Monami Mondal, Ph.D, Lecturer Department of Physiology, Manipal College of Medical Sciences Pokhara, Nepal. She is the editorial board member of MS.

Dr. Rajandra Upadhya, worked as Professor and Head, Department of Anatomy, Manipal College of Medical Sciences, Pokhara, Nepal

Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful to all the participants and Dr. B.M Nagpal, Dean of MCOMS. We are also thankful to research ethics committee of MCOMS who gave us permission to do this research work. Special thanks to Mrs. Bithi Roy & Mr. Harekrishna Roy for helping with data entry and their valuable suggestions, support and encouragement throughout this project.

References

- 1. Rajkumari, A.B. and Y.I. Singh: Body donation and its relevance in anatomy learning A review. J. Anat. Soc. India. 2007, 56(1): 1-6.
- Rizzolo LJ, Stewart WB: Should we continue teaching anatomy by dissection when...? Anat Rec B New Anat. 2006, 289:215-8.
- Lempp HK. Perceptions of dissection by students in one medical school: beyond learning about anatomy.
 A qualitative study. Medical Education. 2005, 39(3):318-25.
- Azis MA, Mckenzie JC, Wilson JS, Cowie RJ, Ayeni SA, Dunn BK: The human cadaver in the age of biomedical informatics. Anatomical Record. 2002, 269(1):20-32.

- 5. Utting M, Willan P. What future for dissection in courses of human topographical anatomy in the UK. Clinical Anatomy. 1995, 8(6)414-17.
- 6. Evans, E.J. and G.H. Fitzgibbon The dissecting room: Reactions of first year medical students. Clin.Anat. 1992, 5(4): 311-20.
- 7. Gross, P., Rinehart, W., deTreville, R. The Pulmonary Response to Toxic gases. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J., 1967, 28, 315.
- 8. Waker, J.F. Formaldehyde, Reinhood, New York. 3rd ed., 1964, 483-510.
- Hauptmann, M., Stewart, P.A., Lubin, J.H., Beane Freeman, L.E., Hornung, R.W., Herrick, R.F., Hoover, R.N., Fraumeni, J.F. Jr, Blair, A., Hayes, R.B. Mortality from Lymphohaematopoietic Malignancies and Brain Cancer among Embalmers Exposed to Formaldehyde. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2009, 1001 (24), 1696-1708.
- Binawara, B.K., Rajnee, choudhary, S., Mathur, K.C., Sharma, H., Goyal, K. Acute Effect of Formalin on Pulmonary Function Tests in Medical Students. Pak J Physiol., 2010. 6(2), 8.
- 11. Keil, C.E., Akbar-Khanzedeh F., Konency, K.A. Characterizing Formaldehyde Emission Rates in a Gross Anatomy Laboratory. Appl. Occup. Environ. Hyg., 2001, 16, 967-72.
- 12. Coleman R, Kogan I. An improved low-formaldehyde embalming fluid to preserve cadavers for anatomy teaching. J Anat; 1998, 192 (Pt 3): 443-46.
- Fowler, J.F. Jr., Skimmer, S.M., Belsito, D.V. Allergic Contact Dermatitis from Formaldehyde Resins in Permanent Press Clothing: an under Diagnosed Cause of Generalized Dermatitis. Journal of American Academy of Dermatology. 1992, 962-68.
- 14. FM Onyije, Onyije FM, Avwioro OG. Excruciating effect of formaldehyde exposure to students in gross anatomy dissection laboratory. Int J Occup Environ Med. 2012, 3(2):92-5.
- Dixit D. Role of Standardized Embalming Fluid in Reducing the Toxic Effect of Formaldehyde. Indian Journal of Forensic Medicine & Toxicology. 2008, 2 (1): 33-9.
- Hauptmann, M., Lubin, J.H., Stewart, P.A., Hayes, R.B., Blair, A. Mortality from Solid Cancers among Workers in Formaldehyde Industries. American Journal of Epidemiology, 2004, 159(12): 1117-30.
- 17. IARC. Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic risks to Humans 88, Formaldehyde, 2-Butoxyethanol and I-tert-Butoxypropranolol-2-ol. International Agency for Research on Cancer, 2006, 36-325.
- 18. Kawamata S, Kodera H. Reduction of formaldehyde concentrations in the air and cadaveric tissues by

- ammonium carbonate. Anat Scilnt. 2004, 79 (3): 152-57.
- Sathian B, Sreedharan J, Baboo N S, Sharan K, Abhilash E S, Rajesh E. Relevance of sample size determination in medical research. Nepal Journal of Epidemiology; 2010, 1(1): 4-10.
- 20. Charlton R, Dovey SM, Jones DG, Blunt A. Effects of cadaver dissection on the attitudes of medical students. Med Educ. 1994, 28:290–95.
- 21. Kurose, T., Kodera, H., Aoyama, H., & Kawamata, S. Formaldehyde concentration in the air and in cadavers at the gross anatomy laboratory in Hiroshima University. Hiroshima Journal of Medical Science, 2004, 53(3–4), 33–37.
- 22. Mizuki, M, & Tsuda, T. Relationship between atopic factors and physical symptoms induced by gaseous formaldehyde exposure during an anatomy dissection course. Arerugi. 2001, 50(1): 21–28.
- 23. E E Bernard, Ayanniyi A A, Nwegbu MM, Ibekwe TS. Acute Affects of Formalin-Treated Cadaver on Nigerian Medical Students. American Journal of Tropical Medicine & Public Health. 2011, 1(3):89-96.
- Azari RM , Asadi P, JavadJafari M, Soori H, Hosseini V, Occupational Exposure of a Medical School Staff to Formaldehyde in Tehran. Tanaffos; 2012, 11(3): 36-41.
- Khan HM, Mirza TM. Physical and psychological effects of cadaveric dissection on undergraduate medical students. J Pak Med Assoc. 2013, 63(7):831-34.
- 26. Yodaiken, R.E. The uncertain Consequences of Formaldehyde Toxicity. JAMA 1981. 246, 1677-78.
- 27. Wantke F, Focke M, Hemmer W, Tschabitscher M, Gann M, Tappler P, Götz M, Jarisch R. Formaldehyde and phenol exposure during an anatomy dissection course: a possible source of IgE-mediated sensitization? Allergy. 1996, 51(11):837-41.
- Maibach H. Formaldehyde: effects on animal and human skin. In: Gibson JE, ed. Formaldehyde toxicity. Washington, DC: Hemisphere Publishing Corporation, 166-74. 1983.
- Kunugita N, Nakashima T, Kikuta A, Kawamoto T, Arashidani K. Exposure to formaldehyde during an anatomy dissecting course. JUOEH. 2004, 26(3): 337-48.
- 30. Kanki, J.J. Clinical Ophthalmology. 6th edition. Butterworth-Heinemann-Elsevier. China, 153 (2001).
- 31. Yodaiken, R.E. The uncertain Consequences of Formaldehyde Toxicity. JAMA 1981. 246, 1677-78.
- 32. Al-Hayani AA, HamdyRm, Abd El-Aziz GS, Badawoud MH, Aldaqal S, Bedir Y Shellac: A Non-Toxic Preservative for Human Embalming Techniques.

- Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances; 2011, 10 (12): 1561-67.
- 33. Raja DS, Sultana B. Potential health hazards for students exposed to formaldehyde in the gross anatomy laboratory. J Environ Health. 2012, 74(6):36-40.
- 34. Takahashi, S., Tsuji, K., Fujii, K., Okazaki, F., Takigawa, T., Ohtsuka, A., &lwatsuki, K. Prospective study of clinical symptoms and skin test reactions in medical students exposed to formaldehyde gas. The Journal of Dermatology, 2007, 34(5), 283–289.
- 35. Wantke F, Demmer CM, Tappler P, et al. Exposure to gaseous formaldehyde induces IgE-mediated sensitization to formaldehyde in school-children. Clin Exp Allergy. 1996a 26:276-280.
- 36. Rudzki E, Rebandel P, Grzywa Z. Patch tests with occupational contactants in nurses, doctors and dentists. Contact Dermatitis. 1989, 20:247-59.
- 37. Fischer T, Andersen K, Bengesson U, et al Clinical standardization of the True Test formaldehyde patch. Curr Probl Dermatol. 1995, 22:24-30.
- 38. Kiec-Swierczynska M. Occupational allergic contact dermatitis in Lodz: 1990-1994. Occup Med. 1996, 48:205-208.
- 39. Maibach H. Formaldehyde: effects on animal and human skin. In: Gibson JE, ed. Formaldehyde toxicity. Washington, DC: Hemisphere Publishing Corporation, 166-74. 1983.
- 40. Meding B, Swanbeck G. Occupational hand eczema in an industrial city. Contact Dermatitis 1990, 22:13-23.